

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/020,480	12/18/2001	Timothy David Warlick	9218			
7590 02/03/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Timothy Warlick			SAFAVI, MICHAEL			
2273 Graham R Bayside, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			3673			
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s) WARLICK, TIMOTHY DAVID				
7	Interview Summary	10/020,480	v					
0		Examiner	Δ	Art Unit				
		M. Safavi	3	673				
All participa	nts (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>M. Safav</u>	<u>i</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>T. Warlich</u>	<u>k</u> .	(4)						
Date of Int	terview: <u>31 January 2005</u> .							
	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
	vn or demonstration conducted: d) Yeorief description:	es e)⊡ No.						
Claim(s) dis	cussed: <u>12 and 13</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Selisky; Finnern; Walsh, Jr.								
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) □ N/A.								
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
allowable, if	cription, if necessary, and a copy of the a available, must be attached. Also, where available, a summary thereof must be att	no copy of the amend						
INTERVIEW GIVEN ONE FORM, WHIC	AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFF . (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE CHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEM Record of Interview requirements on reve	to the last Office action , OR THE MAILING D. ENT OF THE SUBSTA	n has already be ATE OF THIS I ANCE OF THE	een filed, APPI NTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY			
	. •		My	le .				
		÷	MICHAEL SA PRICOLEV EXC VICERIA	nonverge. Livilia				
	te: You must sign this form unless it is an o a signed Office action.	— Exa	aminer's signatu	ure, if required				

Application No. 10/020,480

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Warlick had stated what he felt to be differences between the claimed invention and the applied prior art. Mr. Warlick had confirmed that the claims including claim 13 are directed to a "weight" per se. Examiner had indicated that arguments to desired effect, (such as non-slip), or intended use, (such as for diving), would not serve to overcome the applied rejections under 35 USC 103. Otherwise, Mr. Warlick may submit arguments in response to the final Office action.